

Index

First Part: Context of the problem	27
General Introduction	28
1. General context.....	28
1.1. A brief characterization of viticulture in Chile	28
1.2. Water scarcity and optimization in the efficiency of water use in the vineyard	29
2. Vine water consumption and vine water status	30
2.1. Why phenology is an important factor to consider when irrigation management is made?	30
2.2. Water status measurements at the plant level.....	32
2.3. Estimation of water consumption.....	33
2.4. High resolution images for estimation of water consumption in vineyard ..	34
2.5. Monitoring vineyard water status using wireless sensor networks (WSN)..	35
3. Objectives of the thesis	36
4. Stages of research, scientific questions and definition of the problem	37
5. Bibliography.....	38
Second Part: Remote sensing	43
Chapter 1 : Using clustering algorithms to segment UAV-based RGB images..	44
Abstract	44
Introduction	44
Materials and methods	46
Experimental setup and Image acquisition.....	46
Greenness Index	46
Image segmentation algorithm	47
Results and discussion.....	48
Conclusion.....	50
Acknowledgements	51
Bibliography.....	51

Chapter 2 : UAV-based estimation of vineyard actual evapotranspiration using the Shuttleworth and Wallace model.....54

Abstract54
Introduction55

Materials and methods55
 Study site55
 Physiological measurements56
 Eddy Covariance measurements56
 Thermal and multispectral images acquisition and processing57
 Implementation of Shuttleworth and Wallace model58
 Statistical analysis59

Results and discussion.....59
Conclusion.....62
Acknowledgements62
Bibliography62

Third Part: Spatialized wireless sensors 65

Chapter 3: Spatialized system to monitor vine phenology: Towards a methodology based on a low-cost wireless sensor network66

Abstract66
Introduction67

Materials and Methods70
 Experimental site description70
 Development of a spatialized phenological sensor70
 Micro controller.....71
 Wireless communication systems72
 Temperature sensor73
 Data storage.....73
 Charging source and power supply74
 Operation and prototyping of the modules.....74
 Climatic Model description.....75
 Statistical analysis77

Results	78
Climatic characterization of the experimental site	78
Validation process of the low-cost wireless sensors network	78
Spatialized study of phenology	82
Discussions	88
Ongoing and future work	90
Conclusions	91
Acknowledgements	92
References	92

Chapter 4: Low-cost wireless sensor networks for monitoring spatial variability of plant water status in a commercial vineyard.....99

Abstract	99
Introduction	100
Materials and Methods	103
General description of aSIMOV system	103
Experimental site	103
Experimental design	104
Measurement of micrometeorological variables	104
aSIMOV Node	105
aSIMOV Coordinator Unit	105
Communication protocol	106
Evaluation of the reference sensor and the low-cost thermometers	106
Temperature and physiological measurements	107
Crop Water Stress Index (CWSI) computation	107
Statistical analysis	108
Results	109
Discussion	118
General Considerations: Some indications based on literature	118
Specific indications: Communications and power consumption	119
Stress index computations	121
Final considerations	121
Conclusions	122
References	123

Fourth part: Discussion, perspectives and general conclusions.....	134
General discussion and future perspectives.....	135
Answer to the general problem of the thesis	135
Scientific originality of the work.....	137
Ongoing and future work	137
Fifth part General Conclusion	139
Appendix	142
Appendix 1 : Invention Report 1, in presentation format for UTAL: aSIMOV; Wireless System for Vine Monitoring.	143
Appendix 2 : Invention Report 1, in presentation format for UTAL: Telemap; Geo-informatic platform for monitoring the vigor and water consumption of fruit trees and vines. .	163
Appendix 3 : Participation in other events not reported in the document during the completion of the Doctoral thesis.....	179
Appendix 4 : Publication of "UAV-based estimation of vineyard actual evapotranspiration using the Shuttleworth and Wallace model"; International conference in Horticultural Sciences	185
Appendix 5 : Publication of "Estimation of vineyard water status using infrared thermometry measurement at different positions of the canopy"; International conference in Horticultural Sciences	186
Appendix 6 : Publication of "Development of models to estimate vine water status using spectral indices"; International conference in Horticultural Sciences.....	187
Appendix 7 : Publication of "Assessment of the clumped model to estimate olive orchard evapotranspiration using meteorological data and UAV-based thermal infrared imagery"; International conference in Horticultural Sciences	188

List of figures

Chapter 1: Using clustering algorithms to segment UAV-based RGB images

Figure. 1 Raster image of groups defined through k-means algorithm..... 48

Figure. 2 where; a) Area corresponding to crop defined as a class 1 in k-means b) Area corresponding to shadow defined as a class 2 in k-means, and c) Area corresponding to soil defined as a class 3 in k-means.. 49

Figure. 3 Raster image of groups defined through CLARA algorithm..... 49

Figure. 4 a) Area corresponding to crop defined as a class 1 in using CLARA b) Area corresponding to shadow defined as a class 2 using CLARA, and c) Area corresponding to soil defined as a class 3 using CLARA..... 49

Figure. 5 where; a) UAV based image obtained with an RGB sensor b) Raster image of groups defined through k-means (purple) and CLARA (blue) algorithms. Red circles indicate pixels that correspond to the crop layer for k-means..... 50

Chapter 2: Assessment of vineyard water status using high-resolution remote sensing information in combination with meteorological data

Chapter 3: UAV-based estimation of actual vineyard evapotranspiration using the Shuttleworth and Wallace model

Figure 1. Comparisons at the time of UAV overpass over a commercial vineyard between observed (X-axis) and estimated (Y-axis) values of R_{ni} = Instantaneous Net Radiation; G_i = Instantaneous Soil Heat Flux; LE_i = Instantaneous Latent Heat Flux..... 61

Chapter 4: Spatialized system to monitor vine phenology: Towards a methodology based on a low-cost wireless sensor network

Figure 1. Experimental site and system location..... 71

Figure 2. Voltage regulator board.. 74

Figure 3. Schematic model of data transfer..... 75

Figure 4. Absolute value of the differences in days, with respect to the measured value for estimates made during season 1 (2011-12). The orange color indicates the measurement points at which values greater than 4 days were observed, on the other hand, the points indicated with yellow indicate differences less than 4 days between observed values versus estimated. a): corresponds to an estimation of phenology made using (Ortega-Farías et al., 2002) model in combination with spatialized sensors (SEN-ME), b): is the estimation of phenology made using (Ortega-Farías et al., 2002) and meteorological weather station (AWS) data (AWS-ME), c): is the estimation of phenology made using (Parker et al., 2013) model in combination with spatialized sensors (SEN-GPV) and d): is the estimation of phenology made using (Parker et al., 2013) and meteorological weather station (AWS) data (AWS-GPV).. 83

Figure 5. Absolute value of the differences in days, with respect to the measured value for estimates made during season 2 (2012-13). The orange color indicates the measurement points at which values greater than 4 days were observed, on the other hand, the points indicated with yellow indicate differences of less than 4 days between observed values versus estimated. a): corresponds to an estimation of phenology made using (Ortega-Farías et al., 2002) model in combination with spatialized sensors (SEN-ME), b): is the estimation of phenology made using (Ortega-Farías et al., 2002) and meteorological weather station (AWS) data (AWS-ME), c): is the estimation of phenology made using (Parker et al., 2013) model in combination with spatialized sensors (SEN-GPV) and d): is the estimation of phenology made using (Parker et al., 2013) and meteorological weather station (AWS) data (AWS-GPV).. 84

Figure 6. Cartographies corresponding to season 1 were, a): Measured values of phenology, b): is the estimation of phenology made using (Ortega-Farías et al., 2002) and spatialized sensors (SEN-ME), c): is the estimation of phenology made using (Parker et al., 2013) model in combination with spatialized sensors (SEN-GPV) d): is the estimation of phenology made using (Ortega-Farías et al., 2002) and meteorological weather station (AWS) data (AWS-ME) and d): is the estimation of phenology made using (Parker et al., 2013) and meteorological weather station (AWS) data (AWS-GPV)..... 86

Figure 7. Cartographies corresponding to season 2 were, a): Measured values of phenology, b): is the estimation of phenology made using (Ortega-Farías et al., 2002) and spatialized sensors (SEN-ME), c): is the estimation of phenology made using (Parker et al., 2013) model in combination with spatialized sensors (SEN-GPV) d): is the estimation of phenology made using (Ortega-Farías et al., 2002) and meteorological weather station (AWS) data (AWS-ME) and e): is the estimation of phenology made using (Parker et al., 2013) and meteorological weather station (AWS) data (AWS-GPV)..... 87

Chapter 6: Low-cost wireless sensor networks for monitoring spatial variability of plant water status in a commercial vineyard

Figure 1. Meteorological conditions for season 2017-18 (September 1 to March 30), where ETo corresponds to reference evapotranspiration (mm d-1), Rs is solar radiation (W m-2), and DOY is the day of year..... 109

Figure 2. Calibration of the Apogee sensor and low-cost thermal sensor integrated in aSIMOV using a Blackbody (BB) at different temperature levels... 110

Figure 3. Comparison per treatment of the temperature measured by MI-2H0 and aSIMOV at the same time of physiological measurements. The dotted line corresponds to 1:1..... 111

Figure 4. Comparison between temperatures per Level and day of year (DOY) measured by aSIMOV and MI-2H0..... 112

Figure 5. Stem water potential (SWP) measurements per treatment obtained with a pressure chamber. 114

Figure 6. Difference of Tc-Ta versus Stem Water Potential (SWP) estimated using a) MI-2H0 sensors and b) Asimov sensor.... 115

Figure 7. Relation determined between Crop Water Stress Index (CWSI) and Stem water potential (SWP)..... 116

Figure 8. Stem water potential (SWP) measured and modeled with aSIMOV 117

List of tables

Chapter 1: **Using clustering algorithms to segment UAV-based RGB images**

Chapter 2: **UAV-based estimation of actual vineyard evapotranspiration using the Shuttleworth and Wallace model**

Table 1. Physiological measurements carried out during the 2018-2019 growing season.60

Table 2. Validation of sub-models that compute LE_i = Instantaneous Latent Heat Flux, R_{ni} = Instantaneous Net Radiation, G_i = Instantaneous Soil Heat Flux, ET_a = Daily evapotranspiration, over a commercial vineyard at the time of UAV overpass.....61

Chapter 3: **Spatialized system to monitor vine phenology: Towards a methodology based on a low-cost wireless sensor network**

Table 1. Technical characteristics Xbee Pro 63mW RPSMA - Series 2B73

Table 2. Error estimators for estimates made during the two study seasons.....79

Table 3. Absolute value of the differences in days, with respect to the measured value for estimates made during two study seasons.80

Table 4. Coincidence expressed in percentage between the values measured in the field and those estimated using both models82

Chapter 4: **Low-cost wireless sensor networks for monitoring spatial variability of plant water status in a commercial vineyard**

Table 1. Validation of dataset obtained from MI-2H0 and low-cost aSIMOV sensors 111