

# Contents

Acknowledgment.....	ii
Figures.....	v
Tables.....	vi
Abbreviations .....	ix
1. Introduction.....	1
2. The <i>Nothofagus alessandrii</i> forests of central Chile.....	4
2.1. <i>Nothofagus alessandrii</i> as an element of biological diversity.....	4
2.2. Ownership structure of remaining <i>N. alessandrii</i> fragments.....	7
2.3. The threats facing the <i>N. alessandrii</i> forest.....	8
2.4. Conservation approaches to <i>N. alessandrii</i> .....	10
2.5. Climate Change and weather conditions of <i>N. alessandrii</i> habitat.....	12
2.5.1. Climate change.....	12
2.5.2. Current weather conditions of <i>N. alessandrii</i> forests.....	12
2.6. Forest type Roble-Hualo.....	14
3. Core area and buffer zone.....	17
3.1. Different forestry productive alternatives to develop in the buffer zone.....	18
3.1.1. <i>Nothofagus obliqua</i> (Roble).....	19
3.1.2. <i>Nothofagus alpina</i> (Raulí).....	20
3.1.3. <i>Chamaecytisus proliferus</i> (Tagasaste).....	22
3.1.4. <i>Grevillea robusta</i> (Grevillea).....	24
3.1.5. <i>Prunus avium</i> (wild cherry).....	25
3.1.6. <i>Peumus boldus</i> (Boldo).....	26
4. Regional Economy, Cost Benefit Analysis and Opportunity Costs.....	29
4.1. Regional Economy.....	29
4.1.1. Agricultural situation of the counties that have forests which contain <i>N. alessandrii</i> .....	30
4.1.2. Forestry sector.....	37
4.2. Cost Benefit Analysis (C B A).....	40
4.2.1. Empirical valuation data.....	41
4.3. Opportunity Costs.....	42
4.3.1. Theoretical framework of opportunity costs.....	43
4.3.2. Financial criteria and the sensitivity analysis.....	43

5.	Methods and Results.....	47
5.1.	Method and result of the determination of buffer zones.....	47
5.1.1.	Method to the determination of buffer zones.....	47
5.1.2.	Results of determination of the buffer zones.....	52
5.2.	Method and results of the opportunity costs of the creation of a buffer zone for the conservation of <i>N. alessandrii</i> .....	66
5.2.1.	Opportunity cost method.....	66
5.2.1.1.	Financial analysis.....	67
5.2.1.2.	Sensitivity analysis of the best 3 options.....	80
5.2.2.	Results of opportunity cost of the creation of a buffer zone for the conservation of <i>N. alessandrii</i> .....	96
5.3.	Methods and Results of Climate Change impacts on <i>N. alessandrii</i> habitat.....	102
5.3.1.	Methods of climate change impacts on <i>N. alessandrii</i> habitat.....	102
5.3.2.	Result: Climate change impacts on <i>N. alessandrii</i> habitat.....	104
6.	Conclusion.....	109
	References.....	x
	Annex.....	xviii
	Annex 1: “Gestion Forestal Program”.....	xviii
	Annex 2: Forestry law subsidies.....	xix
	Annex 3: Climate maps of the Maule region depicting the current situation and the two future scenarios A2 and B2.....	xxv
	Annex 4: Opportunity Cost vs Regional GDP.....	xxxvii

## Figures

Figure 1: Distribution of <i>N. alessandrii</i> , in the Maule Region, Central Chile.....	5
Figure 2: Pictures of different sectors with <i>N. alessandrii</i> .....	18
Figure 3: Maule Region and Curepto. ....	30
Figure 4: Maule Region and Constitución. ....	32
Figure 5: Maule Region and Empedrado. ....	33
Figure 6: Maule Region and Chanco. ....	34
Figure 7: Maule Region and Cauquenes. ....	36
Figure 8: Forest plantation area, main species (total 2,300,154 ha). ....	37
Figure 9: Main exported forestry products.....	38
Figure 10: Sectors with forests of type Roble-Hualo with the presence of <i>N. alessandrii</i> forests. ....	48
Figure 11: Forest type Roble-Hualo with presence of <i>N. alessandrii</i> , without buffer zone .....	52
Figure 12: Forests type Roble-Hualo with presence of <i>N. alessandrii</i> , with buffer zone of 300 meters. ....	53
Figure 13: Forests type Roble-Hualo with presence of <i>N. alessandrii</i> , with buffer zone of 500 meters. ....	54
Figure 14: Forests type Roble-Hualo with presence of <i>N. alessandrii</i> , with buffer zone of 1,000 meters. ....	55
Figure 15: Area 1 (sectors 1 & 2), Curepto.....	56
Figure 16 Area 2 (sector 3), Constitución.....	57
Figure 17: Area 3 (sector 4), Constitución.....	58
Figure 18: Area 4 (sector 5), Constitución.....	59
Figure 19: Area 5 (sector 6), Chanco.....	60
Figure 20: Area 6 (Sector 7), Empedrado. ....	61
Figure 21: Area 7 (sectors 8, 9, 10, 11, 12). Empedrado, Chanco. ....	62
Figure 22: Area 8 (sector 13), Chanco.....	63
Figure 23: Area 9 (sector 14), Chanco, Pelluhue. ....	64
Figure 24: Area 10 (sector 15) Cauquenes.....	65
Figure 25: Sensitivity analysis <i>Chamaecytisus proliferus</i> (Tagasaste). ....	86
Figure 26: Sensitivity analysis <i>Peumus boldus</i> (Boldo) .....	90
Figure 27: Sensitivity analysis <i>N. obliqua</i> (Roble).....	95

Figure 28: Edaphoclimatic zones .....	103
---------------------------------------	-----

## Tables

Table 1: The surface distribution and number of fragments of <i>N. Alessandrii</i> , according to sectoral and administrative political division in Chile.....	5
Table 2: Regional GDP (million CHPS).....	29
Table 3: Agriculture and forestry holdings surface (ha.) in 2007 (Curepto). ....	31
Table 4: Percentage of agricultural and forestry holdings surface, 2007 (Curepto).....	31
Table 5: Permanent jobs in agricultural and forestry sector, 2007 (Curepto).....	31
Table 6: Agricultural and forestry holdings surface (ha) in 2007 (Constitución). ....	32
Table 7: Percentage of agricultural and forestry holdings surface, 2007 (Constitución). .....	32
Table 8: Permanent jobs in agricultural and forestry sector, 2007 (Constitución).....	33
Table 9: Agricultural and forestry holdings surface (ha) in 2007 (Empedrado). ....	33
Table 10: Percentage of agricultural and forestry holdings surface, 2007 (Empedrado). .....	34
Table 11: Permanent jobs in agricultural and forestry sector, 2007 (Empedrado).....	34
Table 12: Agricultural and forestry holdings surface (ha) in 2007 (Chanco). ....	35
Table 13: Percentage of agricultural and forestry holdings surface, 2007 (Chanco). ....	35
Table 14: Permanent jobs in the agricultural and forestry sectors, 2007 (Chanco).....	35
Table 15: Agricultural and forestry holdings surface (ha) in 2007 (Cauquenes). ....	36
Table 16: Percentage of agricultural and forestry holdings surface, 2007 (Cauquenes).36	
Table 17: Permanent jobs in the agricultural and forestry sectors, 2007 (Cauquenes). .	36
Table 18: Forest area in the Maule Region. ....	39
Table 19: Sectors where <i>N. alessandrii</i> is distributed. ....	49
Table 20: Rearrangement of the 15 sectors with presence of <i>N. alessandrii</i> forest in 10 new areas. ....	51
Table 21: Current surface of forest type Roble-Hualo with presence of <i>N. alessandrii</i> forest by zone. ....	51
Table 22: Areas with buffer zone of 300 meters. ....	53
Table 23: Areas with buffer zone of 500 meters. ....	54
Table 24: Areas with buffer zone of 1,000 meters. ....	55
Table 25: <i>Pinus radiata</i> Project and financial indicators.....	69
Table 26: <i>N. obliqua</i> (Roble) project. ....	71

Table 27: Financial Indicators of <i>N. obliqua</i> Project .....	71
Table 28: <i>N. alpina</i> (Raulí) project.....	72
Table 29: Financial indicators of <i>N. alpina</i> project.....	72
Table 30: <i>Chamaecytisus proliferus</i> (Tagasaste) project.....	73
Table 31: Financial Indicators <i>Chamaecytisus proliferus</i> Project.....	73
Table 32: <i>Grevillea robusta</i> (Grevillea) project.....	74
Table 33: Financial Indicators <i>Grevillea robusta</i> Project.....	74
Table 34: <i>Prunus avium</i> (Wild Cherry) project.....	75
Table 35: Financial indicators of <i>Prunus avium</i> project.....	75
Table 36: <i>Peumus boldus</i> (Boldo) project.....	77
Table 37: Financial indicators of <i>Peumus boldus</i> project.....	78
Table 38: Total NPV repetitions summary.....	79
Table 39: Best projects at a discount rate of 12 % and 10 %.....	79
Table 40: Best projects at a discount rate of 8 % and 6 %.....	79
Table 41: IRR summary.....	80
Table 42: Best projects according to IRR criteria .....	80
Table 43 Hypothesis 1: Planting costs increase 10% of the real value.....	81
Table 44: Hypothesis 2: Planting costs decrease 10% of the real value.....	82
Table 45 Hypothesis 3: Product price increase by 10% of the real value.....	82
Table 46: Hypothesis 4: Products price decrease in a 10% of the real value.....	83
Table 47: Hypothesis 5: Product prices and planting costs increase 10% of the real value .....	83
Table 48 Hypothesis 6: Product prices and planting costs decrease 10% of the real value .....	84
Table 49: Hypothesis 7: Product price decrease in 10% and planting costs increased by 10% of the real value.....	84
Table 50: Hypothesis 8: Product price increase of 10% and planting cost decrease of 10% of the real value.....	85
Table 51: Hypothesis 1: Planting costs increase 10% of the real value.....	86
Table 52: Hypothesis 2: Planting costs decrease 10% of the real value.....	87
Table 53: Hypothesis 3: Product price increase by 10% of the real value.....	87
Table 54: Hypothesis 4: Product price decrease by 10% of the real value.....	88
Table 55: Hypothesis 5: Product price and planting costs increase 10% of the real value .....	88

Table 56: Hypothesis 6: Product price and planting costs decrease 10% of the real value .....	89
Table 57: Hypothesis 7: Product price decrease of 10% and planting cost increase of 10% of the real value. ....	89
Table 58: Hypothesis 8: Product price increase 10% and planting costs decrease 10% of the real value. ....	90
Table 59: Hypothesis 1: Planting costs increase 10% of the real value.....	91
Table 60: Hypothesis 2: Planting costs decrease by 10% of the real value. ....	91
Table 61: Hypothesis 3: Products price increase in a 10% of the real value. ....	92
Table 62: Hypothesis 4: Product's price decrease by 10% of the real value. ....	92
Table 63: Hypothesis 5: Product price and planting costs increase 10% of the real value .....	93
Table 64: Hypothesis 6: Product price and planting costs decrease by 10% of the real value.....	93
Table 65: Hypothesis 7: Product price decrease by 10% and planting costs increased by 10% of the real value. ....	94
Table 66: Hypothesis 8: Product price increase 10% and planting cost decrease 10% of the real value. ....	94
Table 67: Returns generated for a ha of <i>Pinus radiata</i> . ....	96
Table 68: Returns generated for a ha of <i>Chamaecytisus proliferus</i> . ....	97
Table 69: Returns generated for a ha of <i>Peumus boldus</i> . ....	97
Table 70: Returns generated for a ha of <i>N. obliqua</i> . ....	97
Table 71: Total returns generated by each activity in the buffer zones. ....	98
Table 72: Opportunity cost of not planting a ha of <i>Pinus radiata</i> . ....	99
Table 73: Total opportunity costs of scenario 1 ( <i>Pinus radiata</i> Case) for the establishment of the different buffer zone. ....	99
Table 74: Opportunity costs for the creation of the buffer zone in the scenario 2. ....	100
Table 75: Current situation of the distribution area of <i>N. alessandrii</i> in summer.....	105
Table 76: Current situation of the distribution area of <i>N. alessandrii</i> in spring.....	105
Table 77: Climatic situation in Chile future scenario A2 in summer. ....	106
Table 78 Climatic situation in Chile future scenario A2 in spring. ....	106
Table 79: Climatic situation in Chile future scenario B2 in summer. ....	107
Table 80: Climatic situation in Chile future scenario B2 in spring. ....	107

## Abbreviations

CBA	Cost Benefit Analysis
CEPAL	Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean)
CERTFOR	Sustainable Forest Management Certification
CHP\$	Chilean Pesos
CONAF	Corporación Nacional forestal (National forest Corporation)
CONAMA	Corporación Nacional del Medio Ambiente (National Environmental Corporation)
CORFO	Corporación de Fomento de la Producción (Corporation for Production Development)
CORMA	Corporación Chilena de la Madera (Chilean Wood Corporation)
DEAT	Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism
GEAM	Grupo de Estudios de Agroecosistemas Mediterraneos (Group of Mediterranean Agroecosystems Studies)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GHG	Greenhouse gases
GIS	Geographic Information System
INE	Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas (National Institute of Statistics)
INFOR	Instituto Forestal (Forest Institute)
INIA	Instituto de investigaciones agropecuarias (Agricultural Research Institute)
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IRR	Internal Rate of Return
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
OC	Opportunity Costs
PRECIS	Providing Regional Climates for Impact Studies
Prochile	Program to promote Chilean exports
NPV	Net Present Value
SNASPE	Sistema Nacional de Áreas Silvestres Protegidas del Estado (National System of Protected Wild Areas of the State)
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
US\$	American Dollars